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President Dwight Eisenhower and his White House advisers clearly faced a major challenge in the 1950s at home and abroad with the civil rights movement and the independence movements in Africa against European colonial regimes. On the domestic scene, the NAACP had extended its challenge to segregated education culminating in the Brown decision in 1954 in which the Supreme Court ruled that state sponsored segregated education was inherently unconstitutional. In the same year Martin Luther King emerged as the spokesperson for the Montgomery Alabama boycott of segregated city buses and went on to launch an expanding challenge through the Southern Christian Leadership Conference to segregation in all public facilities and a broader challenge to discrimination against blacks in an expanding number of areas.

When Eisenhower arrived in the White House in 1952, Africa had not yet emerged as a major area of U.S. interest or concern compared with Cold War crises in Asia, the Middle East, and Berlin in Europe. By the time Ike packed up his golf clubs for retirement at the end of 1960, major challenges had emerged with Ghana gaining its independence from British control in 1957, followed by sixteen new African states joining the United Nations in 1960. The Cold War arrived most dramatically and destructively in Africa with the independence of the Congo from Belgian control in 1960 and the ensuing conflicts in the Congo that continue to this day.

George White’s study joins a growing number of books and articles on U.S. involvement with Africa that recognize and explore the interaction of both the civil rights movement with African developments and the Eisenhower administration’s simultaneous effort to manage the pace and direction of change in both areas. As more African leaders and representatives arrive in New York at the UN and in Washington at their diplomatic residencies, Eisenhower realized that he had to do something about the reality of Jim Crow segregation in the nation’s capital and surrounding neighborhoods in Virginia and Maryland. Eisenhower and his advisers definitely preferred a gradualist approach on domestic civil rights issues such as school desegregation or civil rights as addressed in legislation in 1957 and 1960. The same stance is apparent in their response to new African leaders such as Kwame Nkrumah in Ghana.

The reviewers have appreciated Professor White’s exploration of U.S. attitudes and policy toward Africa and raised some important questions starting with the most original dimension of his study, his use of critical race theory and whiteness to understand the view of Washington policy makers:
1.) Whiteness is defined by Professor White as the “complex of associations, assumptions, and immunities attributed to people who are identified as White,” and the author emphasizes five manifestations: “White innocence and entitlement, along with Black erasure, self-abnegation, and insatiability.” White innocence refers to the idea that “White people are inherently pious, just and law-abiding” and white entitlement refers to the idea that whites because of their sense of superiority in all things “deserve a disproportionate share of power, resources, and esteem.” On the other hand, Whites views Black people as the antithesis of Whiteness and, consequently, deny any black accomplishments, insist that Blacks themselves accept an inferior status, even as Whites believe that Blacks lack self-restraint and want too much with respect to jobs, education, rights, and independence. “Holding the Line” is Dr. White’s central thesis on the Eisenhower administration’s policy toward Africa, an effort to maintain the supremacy of Whiteness under challenge in Africa, to keep the essential economic, political, and ideological underpinnings intact at home and abroad in the Cold War even as the structure of colonialism collapsed in Africa and segregation faced mounting challenges in the U.S. (2-5, 37-39)

2.) The reviewers consider Professor White’s concept of Whiteness and thesis on the Eisenhower administration as successful in capturing the racial views of Eisenhower and his advisers and also their desire to replace European colonialism with a new relationship between the European powers and their former colonies. Dr. White’s use of the records of the Eisenhower Presidential Library and National Security Council studies support his assessments. The racial views of U.S. officials are definitely very important for understanding their responses to both domestic and foreign policy challenges. Anne Foster, for example, notes that this perspective could be broadened for comparative purposes to other relevant areas such as Asia and the U.S. involvement with independence movements before 1952 or simultaneous with Washington dealing with Africa. Seth Jacobs in *America's Miracle Man in Vietnam: Ngo Dinh Diem, Religion, Race, and U.S. Intervention in Southeast Asia, 1950-1957*, for example, gives considerable weight to the impact of religious views on the Eisenhower administration’s support for Diem.

3.) More challenging is the question of when Professor White’s concept of Whiteness has an important causative impact in the four case studies that he presents: U.S. policy toward Ethiopia and Halie Selassie’s regime; U.S. efforts to work with Kwame Nkrumah in Ghana on the Volta River project; the U.S. and South Africa; and the U.S. and the Congo crisis in 1960. Dr. White refers to Whiteness as an important shaping factor on attitudes and U.S. policy in each case study but there are questions about its relative importance particularly in weighting other concerns such as economic interests with respect to strategic raw materials and economic interests in South Africa, the domestic political ramifications of Eisenhower’s position on both civil rights issues and African issues, the importance of maintaining relations with major European allies, and, most importantly, the Cold War competition which swirls into
Africa and brings in other contested issues such as the U.S. conflict with Fidel Castro's Cuba.

4.) With respect to the four case studies, the influence of Whiteness may have been the least with respect to Ethiopia and the greatest with respect to South Africa. As Professor White points out, Selassie supported U.S. Cold War policies despite an unwillingness on the part of Washington to provide the level of military aid requested by Selassie who finally visited Moscow in 1959 to sign two loan agreements. As Dr. White suggests, Whiteness views reinforced concerns about the impact of arms to Ethiopia on its tenuous relations with Egypt and the Somalian territory. South Africa would seem to fit Dr. White's thesis the best, although other considerations definitely influence U.S. policy. White South Africans were not leaving unlike Belgians from the Congo or the British for Ghana. They were determined to maintain a whiteness, apartheid regime, and the Eisenhower administration was unwilling to challenge South Africa in any meaningful way. The Congo is probably in between on the Whiteness scale. The Cold War was in full bloom in the Congo with Nikita Khrushchev's desire to court the third world for Marxist-Leninism and, most specifically, to provide aid to Patrice Lumumba, the first prime minister of the independent Congo in July 1960. Lumumba clearly did not follow the requirements of Whiteness for a dependent, undemanding, appreciative black leader. However, an external Cold War consideration that should be considered is the failure from Washington’s perspective of its effort to deal with Fidel Castro in Cuba, which, undoubtedly affected its views on Lumumba and efforts to get rid of him.

5.) Foster and Daniel Byrne both note that Professor White attempts to incorporate a gender analysis with his emphasis on race. They note that in each chapter in the last couple of pages Dr. White turns to the women of different African countries and notes how U.S. policies tended to reinforce the limiting impact of colonialism on the rights and opportunities of African women. Both reviewers ask for a more systematic analysis, noting that it is not clear whether U.S. officials applied gendered concepts to Africa or whether their policies had the intent of affecting the status and roles of African women. For example, Dr. White mentions that African women in general and specifically in the Congo received little education and that the Eisenhower administration emphasized the importance of a western education for African leaders. Dr. White implies that Washington endorsed the gender subordination of African women by African men just by advocating higher education for African leaders. (130-132) As the reviewers note, more analysis and primary sources are needed to support Dr. White’s gender analysis.
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